SIM MONSFIRM.COM (800) 479-9533

August 6, 2024

VIA ECF

Hon. Valerie Figueredo Daniel Patrick Moynihan **United States Courthouse** 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007-1312

> Gref v. Am. Int'l Indus., et al., 20-cv-05589 Re.

Dear Judge Figueredo,

Plaintiff was surprised to receive Mr. Kozak's letter requesting, on behalf of defendant American International Industries ("AII"), an extended briefing schedule. Mr. Kozak reached out to us yesterday with the same request and, rather than denying his request as he claims, Plaintiff proposed a modified version. See Exhibit A. Mr. Kozak never responded to Plaintiff's proposal and instead filed his letter.

The truth of the matter is that there are not "several additional issues that need to be addressed." Instead, despite working at a law firm with numerous attorneys and co-counsel with another large law firm, Mr. Kozak needs 6 weeks due to a personal vacation and driving his children to college. Plaintiff is attempting to dismiss his own claim against the Defendant, thereby ending this litigation. Defendant is refusing to take a dismissal with prejudice, forcing Plaintiff to file what should have been an unnecessary motion. Rather than accepting its success, AII seeks to extend this litigation even further by requesting a month and a half to oppose a simple 13-page motion to dismiss. Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court deny AII's request and require AII to adhere to the deadlines as noticed in the Motion according to Local Civil Rule 6.1(b). As an alternative, we propose the schedule we offered to AII yesterday:

AII's Opposition Deadline: September 6, 2024

Plaintiff's Reply Deadline: September 20, 2024

Thank you for your continued time and consideration in this matter.

Respectfully yours,

Christopher Kozak, Esq. cc:

We stand for our clients.